

Dated: June 1, 1995.

Roger Patterson,

Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 95-13987 Filed 6-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-M

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Ex Parte No. 388 (Sub-No. 1)]

Intrastate Rail Rate Authority—Alabama

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Notice of provisional recertification.

SUMMARY: The State of Alabama has filed an application for recertification. The Commission, under *State Intrastate Rail Rate Authority*, 5 I.C.C.2d 680, 685 (1989), provisionally recertifies the State of Alabama to regulate intrastate rail rates, classifications, rules, and practices. After its review, the Commission will issue a recertification decision or take other appropriate action.

DATES: This provisional recertification will be effective on June 8, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elaine Sehrt-Green, (202) 927-5269 or Beryl Gordon, (202) 927-5610 [TDD for hearing impaired: (202) 927-5721].

Decided: June 1, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-14057 Filed 6-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Proposed Long Range Plan for the Federal Courts

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States.

ACTION: Notice of Conference actions concerning the Proposed Long Range Plan for the Federal Courts.

On March 14, 1995, the Judicial Conference of the United States received from its Committee on Long Range Planning a Proposed Long Range Plan for the Federal Courts. The Proposed Plan is similar in format and content to the tentative proposal that the Committee circulated to the public last November (59 FR 55704) but contains changes made by the Committee to reflect comments received in writing

and at public hearings with respect to the earlier version.

In receiving the Proposed Plan, the Judicial Conference authorized public distribution of the document and took the following actions regarding the provisions of the Plan:

1. The Conference allowed its individual members until April 11, 1995, to request referral of any specific numbered recommendations to the appropriate Conference committees for further study and report to the September 1995 Conference session.

2. The Conference approved, effective April 12, 1995, all recommendations in the Proposed Plan not subsequently identified for further study and report as described above. Approval of a Plan recommendation includes the corresponding implementation strategies but not the supporting commentary.

In accordance with this procedure, the following items were approved, effective April 12, 1995, as part of the Long Range Plan for the Federal Courts:

Recommendations	Implementation strategies
19	
21	
26	
31	
32	32a-32b.
34	
35	35a-35d.
36-38	
39	39a, 39d-39e.
40-41	
43	
45	45a-45b.
46	46a-46b.
47	
50-51	
53	53a-53b.
54-57	
58	58a-58b.
59-62	
63	63a-63d.
64	
69	
71	
77-80	
81	81a-81b.
82-88	
91	91a-91c.
93	93a-93e.
94	94a-94c.
95	
97	
99	99a-99e.
100-101	

Also, in accordance with the prior decision of the Judicial Conference, individual Conference members requested that Conference action on the following items in the Proposed Plan be deferred pending further study by the appropriate committees:

Recommendations	Implementation strategies
1-3	
4	4a-4c.
5-8	
9	9a-9b.
10-11	
12	12a-12c.
13-15	
16	16a-16c.
17-18	
20	
22-25	
27	
28	28a-28b.
29	
30	30a-30c.
33	
	39b-39c.
42	42a-42b.
44	44a.
	45c.
48	
49	49a-49b.
52	52a-52c.
65-68	
70	70a-70c.
72-76	
89	
90	
92	92a-92g.
	94d.
96	
98	

Because most of the deferred items involve policy issues, they were assigned to the Conference committees with responsibility for the programs or topics in question and will be the subject of reports at the September 1995 Conference session. The Conference's Executive Committee was also assigned to consider the 11 recommendations (1-3, 5-6, 9, 11, 16, 30, 76, 98) and one implementation strategy (39b) on which purely technical questions were raised. After consulting with Conference members, the Executive Committee, on May 31, 1995, approved those 12 items on the Conference's behalf with minor word changes intended to clarify, improve accuracy, or adjust tone without altering substantive meaning.

The Long Range Plan is a guide to policy making and administrative action by the Conference and other judicial branch authorities. However, only those items approved by the Judicial Conference represent Conference policies. All commentary on recommendations and implementation strategies and all other Plan provisions (including the recommendations and implementation strategies on which Conference members have requested further study) merely reflect the views of the Committee on Long Range Planning unless expressly approved by the Conference in subsequent proceedings.